3D-Printed Microfluidic Microdissector for High-Throughput Studies of Cellular Aging

Eric C. Spivey,†,[∥] Blerta Xhemalce,†,§ Jason B. Shear,*,‡,§ and Ilya J. Finkelstein*,†,§,[∥]

†Department of Molecular Biosciences, [‡]Department of Chemistry [an](#page-6-0)d Biochemistry, [§]Institute for [Cel](#page-6-0)lular and Molecular Biology,
∥Contar for Systems and Synthetic Biology, The University of Tayas at Austin, Austin, Tay Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Due to their s](#page-5-0)hort lifespan, rapid division, and ease of genetic manipulation, yeasts are popular model organisms for studying aging in actively dividing cells. To study replicative aging over many cell divisions, individual cells must be continuously separated from their progeny via a laborious manual microdissection procedure. Microfluidics-based soft-lithography devices have recently been used to automate microdissection of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. However, little is known about replicative aging in Schizosaccharomyces pombe, a rod-shaped yeast that divides by binary fission and shares many conserved biological functions with higher eukaryotes. In this report, we develop a

versatile multiphoton lithography method that enables rapid fabrication of three-dimensional master structures for polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-based microfluidics. We exploit the rapid prototyping capabilities of multiphoton lithography to create and characterize a cell-capture device that is capable of high-resolution microscopic observation of hundreds of individual S. pombe cells. By continuously removing the progeny cells, we demonstrate that cell growth and protein aggregation can be tracked in individual cells for over ∼100 h. Thus, the fission yeast lifespan microdissector (FYLM) provides a powerful onchip microdissection platform that will enable high-throughput studies of aging in rod-shaped cells.

 \blacksquare he relative simplicity and ease of genetic manipulation in yeasts have propelled their adoption as popular model organisms for aging research. In 1959, Mortimer and Johnston reported that in S. cerevisiae the replicative lifespan (RLS), the number of daughters produced by a mother before it dies, is limited to approximately 30 generations.¹ Since that seminal observation, most studies have focused on replicative aging in S. cerevisiae as a genetically tractable mode[l](#page-6-0) system for aging in mitotically active cells.^{2−6} Many of the mechanistic and genetic insights gained from these replicative aging studies have since been explored in m[et](#page-6-0)a[z](#page-6-0)oans, cementing the importance of unicellular eukaryotes in aging research.^{6</sub>⊂8}

To determine the RLS of individual cells, progeny must be continuously removed from the mothe[r](#page-6-0) [ce](#page-6-0)ll. This is typically accomplished by manual manipulation of the cells under a low magnification dissecting microscope, a method that has not changed appreciably in the last 50 years.^{1,9} Although conceptually simple, microdissection RLS assays are laborious and time-consuming, precluding a detailed an[alys](#page-6-0)is of aging phenotypes.¹⁰ In addition, constant repositioning of the cells on agar plates is incompatible with continuous microscopic observation[. A](#page-6-0)s the cells are moved onto different areas of a plate, changes in the local nutrient environment may also introduce extrinsic heterogeneity into the RLS measurement.

Although aging in S. cerevisiae has been intensely studied for over 50 years, little is known about replicative aging in the distantly related fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (S. pombe). As S. pombe divides by medial fission, the replicative age of a cell can be defined as the age of the oldest cell pole.^{10,11} Early studies suggested that S. pombe has a short (∼15 generation) RLS.^{10,11} However, a recent report concluded [that,](#page-6-0)

under ideal growth conditions, S. pombe avoids replicative aging and achieves functional immortality.⁹ These diverging results may partially stem from the difficulty of studying S. pombe replicative aging via manual microma[n](#page-6-0)ipulation. Identifying the old-pole cells amidst new-pole progeny is particularly challenging.10,11 The low-throughput nature of traditional microdissection studies also precludes a detailed mechanistic and geneti[c an](#page-6-0)alysis of the factors that may contribute to replicative aging in S. pombe.

Microfluidic platforms offer a powerful approach for capturing and observing individual cells.12−²¹ Microfluidic devices have been used to investigate the mechanical properties of S. pombe cells,^{15,16,22} to apply rapid [change](#page-6-0)s in growth temperature,23,24 and to observe synchronized cohorts of cells.18,25 In conv[entiona](#page-6-0)l microfluidic device fabrication, the first step in [pro](#page-6-0)ducing a master structure is to photocure a poly[mer](#page-6-0) through a high-resolution UV mask.²⁶ A polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) flowcell is then molded around the master structure to generate the microfl[ui](#page-6-0)dic device.²⁷ However, fabrication of three-dimensional (3D) master structures with micrometer-scale features is a major bottlene[ck](#page-6-0) for rapid device prototyping. Producing multiple highresolution (<10 μ m feature size) photomasks for each prototype iteration is time-consuming and can be prohibitively expensive. Moreover, aligning and exposing sequential layers of

```
Received: March 10, 2014
Accepted: July 4, 2014
Published: July 4, 2014
```
photoresist makes the fabrication of multilayer master structures challenging.

In this report, we describe a multiphoton lithography fabrication approach that combines raster scanning of a laser beam on a dynamic mask with synchronized microscope stage movement to produce millimeter-sized 3D master structures for microfluidics. Using this flexible strategy for μ 3D-printing $(\mu 3DP)$, we designed and optimized the fission yeast lifespan microdissector (FYLM), a microfluidic device that is capable of capturing and retaining individual fission yeast cells. As the cells divide, the progeny are continuously removed, permitting continuous, ∼100 h microscopic observation of individually addressable old-pole cells. In addition, we demonstrate that the FYLM enables the fluorescent observation of aggregate dissolution after the induction of a proteotoxic stress. Thus, the FYLM promises to open new avenues for studying aging and other long-term processes in S. pombe and other rodshaped organisms.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Fabrication of PEG Masters. A 20 mM HEPES (L6876, Sigma) buffered saline (HBS) solution containing 100 mM NaCl (buffered to pH 7.3) was prepared as a solvent for the precursor solution. Rose Bengal (RB, 330000, Aldrich) was used as the photosensitizer for multiphoton lithography. Fifteen mg of RB was added to 110 μL of HBS and 375 mg of 700 Da polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEGDA, Aldrich 455008) so that the final mass percentage of PEGDA was 75% (Note: All following percentages represent the mass of the stated solute divided by the mass of the solution $(w/w) \times 100\%$, unless otherwise noted.) and the final mass percentage of RB was 3%. Two parallel 25 mm \times 2 mm strips of double-sided tape (Scotch tape, 3M) were placed ∼15 mm apart along the long side of an acrylated glass slide (CEL Associates). A 22 mm \times 40 mm, #0 coverglass (Fisher) was placed over the strips and affixed with gentle pressure. Twenty μ L of the PEGDA/RB solution was loaded into the space between the slide and the coverglass using capillary action. This assembly was then placed with the coverglass facing down on the stage of an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 135) used for μ 3DP. We found that PEGDA/RB was an excellent fabrication material for multiple rounds of PDMS casting (see Supporting Information).

The long-scan μ 3DP apparatus described in this manuscript is based on an earlier version [of the instrument that h](#page-5-0)as been described in detail elsewhere.²⁸ Briefly, the collimated output beam of a mode-locked titanium:sapphire laser, tuned to 740 nm (Coherent Mira 900F), [wa](#page-6-0)s focused onto an electrically actuated scan mirror that scanned the beam in a linear pattern through a series of lenses onto a 800 \times 600 (SVGA) digital micromirror device (DMD), obtained from a BenQ MP510 projector. The DMD was controlled by a computer displaying binary mask images, where micromirrors on the DMD corresponding to the white pixels of the mask image directed the beam into the back aperture of a $40\times$ (0.95 NA) Zeiss Fluar microscope air objective. Thus, only the areas of the focal plane corresponding to the white areas of the mask resulted in photocross-linking within the PEGDA precursor solution. Threedimensional objects were fabricated in a layer-by-layer process by coordinating the movement of the microscope stage with the micromirrors on the DMD. The stage movements and DMD mirrors were controlled by custom software written in LabView (National Instruments, software available upon request).

The average laser power was adjusted using a half-wave plate/polarizer pair to provide 20−30 mW at the back aperture of the objective. The linear scan was established to generate a fast-axis ("x-axis") scan velocity of \sim 7 mm s⁻¹, while the orthogonal ("y-axis") stage movement velocity was set at 20 μ m s⁻¹. These relative scan speeds were selected so that the lines of cross-linked PEGDA overlapped to produce a continuous three-dimensional PEGDA object. Our FYLM structures were 0.44 mm long, consisted of 20 z-layers spaced 0.5 μ m apart, and took approximately 12 min to fabricate (Figure S3, Supporting Information). The fabrication time was predominantly determined by the y-axis stage movement. [Additional](#page-5-0) [capabilities o](#page-5-0)f our new long-scan μ 3D printing approach are summarized in the supplemental discussion, Supporting Information.

Scanning Electron Microscopy. PEGDA m[aster struc](#page-5-0)[tures were im](#page-5-0)aged using a Zeiss Supra 40 VP scanning electron microscope. The PEGDA structures were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) by sequential 15 min washes with 20 mL each of deionized water, ethanol, and methanol. After the methanol was removed, structures were placed in a drying oven at 60 °C for 5 min. The structures were then sputter coated with a 10 nm layer of Pt−Pd alloy using a Cressington 208 benchtop sputter coater for analysis in the SEM.

Fabrication of Microfluidic Flowcells. Glass coverslips (Fisher; 22-266-822) were washed with 2% liquid detergent (Hellmanex III; Helma Analytics) followed by rinsing with water and isopropanol before drying at 60 °C on a hot plate. PDMS prepolymer and hardener (Dow Sylgard 184) were mixed at a 10:1 weight ratio for 30 min on a rotating mixer. The mixed polymer was then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 90 s to remove large air bubbles. Master structures were placed in a shallow container and covered with ∼5 g of liquid PDMS. The filled container was placed in a vacuum chamber and degassed under vacuum (∼630 mmHg) for ∼15 min to remove air bubbles. The PDMS was poured over the PEGDA master or SU-8 remaster (see the supplemental methods, Supporting Information) to a depth of ∼1 mm. After curing in a 60 °C oven for 1 h, nanoports (IDEX Corporation; N[-333\) were](#page-5-0) [placed on to](#page-5-0)p of the interface inlets and an additional ∼2 mm of PDMS was used to cement the nanoports in place. PDMS was then cured for an additional 3 h, after which the PDMS microfluidic device was separated from the master and trimmed to create a flat surface for plasma bonding. A 1 mm biopsypunch (Acu-Punch, Accuderm) was used to make throughholes between the nanoport and the microfluidic device. PDMS devices were treated in an air plasma cleaner (Harrick Scientific) for 20 s and immediately bonded to the freshly cleaned coverslips. PDMS flowcells were used within a few days of fabrication.

Loading Microfluidic Flowcells with S. pombe. Figure S4, Supporting Information, summarizes the microfluidic layout used to connect the FYLM to the syringe pump and injection loo[p. To load the device,](#page-5-0) S. pombe cells were grown overnight in YES medium (Sunrise Science Products) to an $OD_{600} < 1.0$ at 30 °C and then maintained in mid log growth prior to loading into the FYLM. Cells were loaded into the device using a two-channel syringe pump (Legato 210, KD Scientific) according to the loading scheme described in Figure S4 and the supplemental methods, Supporting Information. Briefly, $∼10$ µL of the cell solution was injected into the flowcell manually. After flushing th[e device to remove excess](#page-5-0) cells not caught in catch channels, time-lapse image acquisition was initiated and the flow rate was maintained at $1-2$ μ L min⁻¹. . Table S1, Supporting Information, summarizes the strains used in this study.

Single[-Cell Microscopy and](#page-5-0) Data Analysis. All microscopy was performed using an objective-type inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse TE2000) equipped with a motorized microscope stage (Prior ProScan II). Images were collected with a 40× or 60× air 0.95 numerical aperture objective (Nikon CFI Plan Apo λ) using standard bright field (Köhler) illumination. Fluorescence excitation was accomplished using a xenon arc lamp (Sutter Instruments Lambda LS) and a standard GFP filter set (Chroma ET490/20×, 89100bs, ET525/36m). Images were acquired using a back-thinned EM-CCD (Photometrics Cascade II 512) controlled by NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and processed using ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). For live-cell tracking, images were captured every 2 min. Data analysis was performed in I[mageJ, MATLAB \(MathW](http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/)orks), and Microsoft Excel. Cell doubling times were scored by manually observing the formation of the division septum between two cells. Cell lengths were manually measured using the ImageJ ROI function. All reported error bars correspond to the standard deviation of the indicated number of individual cell measurements. The p-values reported in Figures 6 and 7 were computed in MATLAB. Figure 6C was analyzed using a twotailed paired t test, and Figure 7 was analyzed u[sin](#page-5-0)g a tw[o-](#page-5-0)tailed, two-sample t test without assu[min](#page-5-0)g equal variance.

■ RESULTS AND DISCU[SS](#page-5-0)ION

Long-Scan μ 3D-Printing. Multiphoton lithography is a powerful tool for rapidly fabricating micrometer-scale 3D structures in a variety of materials.29−³⁶ However, generating millimeter-scale, arbitrary 3D structures remains a key challenge. Fabrication of structure[s](#page-6-0) t[ha](#page-6-0)t are larger than the optical field-of-view (typically ∼250 μm for a 40× objective) can be accomplished by manually tiling multiple fields-ofview.²⁸ Tiling two or more fields can lead to misalignments or double exposure, which may cause unpredictable voids and ridg[es](#page-6-0) in the 3D structure. In another approach, SU-8 substrates on a programmable microscope stage are translated in 3D, allowing the rapid fabrication of millimeter- to centimeter-scale structures.37,38 Below, we describe a new method that combined elements of each of these approaches to rapidly fabricate continu[ous](#page-6-0) millimeter-scale devices with arbitrary 3D geometries.

To generate millimeter-scale devices with high-aspect-ratio features, we developed a complementary "long-scan" μ 3DP method that integrates rapid, dynamic mask laser scanning with millimeter-scale stage scanning (Figure 1). The long-scan μ 3DP method combines the flexibility of dynamic mask-based μ 3D printing^{28,35,39} with the millimeter-length scales achieved by previous raster-scan methods.^{37,38} The focused output of the fabricat[ion lase](#page-6-0)r is linearly scanned over a digital micromirror device (DMD), which displ[ays a](#page-6-0) timed sequence of digital masks. Presentation of masks on the DMD is coordinated with movement of the microscope stage along an axis orthogonal to the linear laser scan (Figure 1 and Video S1 (ac500893a_ si_002.avi), Supporting Information). This method can be used to fabricate millimeter-scale 3D structures with micrometersized featur[es. A more detailed discu](#page-5-0)ssion of the capabilities of this system is included in the supplemental methods, Supporting Information.

Figure 1. Long-scan μ 3DP. (top) Configuration of optical components. The output of a femtosecond titanium:sapphire (Ti:S) laser is collimated by lenses CL1 and CL2 before passing through a half-wave plate (HWP) and beam-splitting cube (BSC). The beam is linearly scanned by a scanning mirror (SM) and then passed through two pairs of lenses (L1−L4) that expand both the beam diameter and the scan pattern. Between L3 and L4, the beam is focused on the DMD. Light reflecting from specified DMD mirrors is directed into the back aperture of a high-NA objective and focused into the PEGDA solution. Inset: PEGDA solution is introduced by capillary action into a space between a coverglass and a glass slide. The scanning mirror, DMD, and microscope stage are coordinated by a computer. (bottom) A sequence of timed mask instructions is sent to the DMD in coordination with lateral movement of the stage, producing a single long structure with micrometer-scale features. At the end of lateral movement, the stage is stepped along the optical axis to fabricate the next device layer.

We used polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEGDA) to fabricate ∼0.5 mm-long FYLM master structures. Use of a solution of photosensitizer and PEGDA provided several advantages compared to fabrication using SU-8 (see supplemental methods, Supporting Information). PEGDA structures were chemically inert, permanently bonded to the substrate, and refractory t[o swelling under alcohol a](#page-5-0)nd aqueous buffer conditions. Furthermore, PEGDA structures could be reused to cast multiple PDMS devices. Thus, long scan μ 3DP in PEGDA offers a robust approach for rapidly generating largescale 3D structures for downstream microfluidics applications.

Microfluidic Microdissector for Fission Yeast. We designed a series of FYLM master structures for capturing and retaining individual fission yeast cells in a PDMS-based microfluidic device (Figures 2 and S3, Supporting Information). The rod-like haploid fission yeast cells are typically \sim 14 μ m

Figure 2. Schematic of fission yeast lifetime microdissector (FYLM). (A) Cells in solution enter the device through a central trench. Flow is from right to left (illustrated with blue arrows). Side trenches allow flow through the catch channels, drawing cells into the channels and retaining them via suction. Detail: Illustration of how catch channel width affects cell loading. (B) PEGDA master structure used to generate a PDMS device with variable catch channel dimensions. Scale bar is 100 μ m. Detail: Higher magnification image of the master structure showing (from left to right) 3, 4, 5, and 6 μ m catch channels. Scale bar is 20 μ m.

long before division and ∼7 μm long after division (at "birth") and 4 μ m wide. Cells are loaded into the device via a wide (40 μ m) central trench, and individual cells are retained in narrow side channels. A 2 μ m-wide constriction at the bottom of each channel opens into a 20 μ m side trench, creating a drain while preventing the \sim 4 µm-wide cells from slipping out (Figure S2, Supporting Information). A pressure gradient between the central and side trenches provides mild suction that helps to [retain cells for long-term](#page-5-0) analyses, and provides a constant flow to supply nutrients and remove waste. As S. pombe divides by medial fission, progeny cells grow to fill the catch channels and are eventually washed away by a continuous perfusion of fresh growth media (Video S2 (ac500893a_ si_003.avi), Supporting Information).

Efficient loading, capture, and long-term retention of cells within the [catch channels are cri](#page-5-0)tically dependent on the relative dimensions of the trenches and catch channels (illustrated in Figure 2A). To optimize the FYLM device, we exploited μ 3DP to prototype several experimental master structures (Figures 2 and S3, Supporting Information). Figure 2B shows an SEM image of a master structure where the catch channel dimensions are sequentially varied from $2 \times 2 \mu m$ to 6 \times 6 μ m (width \times height). Producing this master structure via conventional soft lithography would be prohibitively difficult, as it would require the fabrication and precise (submicrometerscale) alignment of at least five unique UV photomasks.

PDMS was cured around the master structure to construct a microfluidic device (Figure S1, Supporting Information). To characterize cell loading and retention, exponentially dividing fission yeast cells were flushed t[hrough the device. A prec](#page-5-0)ision syringe pump maintained accurate, pulse-free media flow. As

expected, nearly all of the 6 μ m \times 6 μ m (width \times height) channels were filled with cells. However, ∼90% of these channels captured two or more cells side-by-side, precluding a simple analysis of the replication dynamics of each cell. Four μ m-wide catch channels offered the best balance between efficient single-cell loading and retention (Figure 3), as 3μ m-

Figure 3. (A) A bright field image showing S. pombe cells filling catch channels of variable dimensions. The white arrowheads point to individual cells. The 6 μ m-wide (nominal) channel (left) and 5 μ mwide channel (second from left) have both captured more than one cell side-by-side, making lineage tracking difficult. The 4μ m-wide channel caught a single cell that divided. At division, wild type fission yeast cells are, on average, ∼14 μm long and ∼4 μm wide. The scale bar is 25 μ m. (B) Cell loading was quantified for catch channels of various widths. The graph represents the distribution of cells caught in 24 channels of a given width. As expected, 4μ m-wide channels offered the best compromise for capturing individual cells with a high loading efficiency.

wide channels were too narrow to load cells reliably and $2 \mu m$ wide channels did not load any cells. Thus, 4μ m-wide catch channels were used in all subsequent devices. Next, we characterized the loading efficiency as a function of the angle between the catch channel and the central trench. We tested three devices with 45°, 60°, and 90° angles (Figure S3, Supporting Information). We observed that typically ∼50% of the catch channels could be reproducibly loaded with cells in all three configurations. We anticipate that refinements to the [loading](#page-5-0) [protocol](#page-5-0) [may](#page-5-0) ultimately increase the percentage of catch channels filled.

The morphology of individual S. pombe cells can vary drastically in different genetic backgrounds, potentially requiring further optimization of the FYLM catch channel dimensions. For example, a recent genome-wide deletion mutant screen identified 513 genes that led to an elongated cell phenotype, suggesting a defect in cell cycle progression.⁴ In addition, 25 mutants exhibited a small-cell morphology.40,41 Of these, the wee1 deletion strain had the most sev[ere](#page-6-0) phenotype, dividing at half the length of wild type cells (7 μ [m-](#page-6-0) long at cell division).⁴¹ The variable catch channel device described above will be essential for determining the optimal catch channel geometr[y f](#page-6-0)or diverse cell morphologies.

Continuous, Long Time-Scale Observation of Fission Yeast. To observe the replication dynamics of individual fission yeast cells, we maintained devices at 31 ± 1 °C using a temperature-controlled atmospheric chamber. To observe cells along the full FYLM device, multiple fields-of-view were acquired by scanning the motorized microscope stage. A bright field image was acquired in 2 min intervals at each stage position.

Figure 4 demonstrates a single S. pombe cell dividing over four generations. As expected, the cell is retained within the

Figure 4. Monitoring cell growth in the FYLM. (top) A single field-ofview of a FYLM device with 4 μ m catch channels. The entrance to the central trench is visible in the center, and the side trenches are on the left and right. Most catch channels captured individual viable S. pombe cells, and some cells have begun mitosis. Scale bar is 20 μ m. (bottom) Time-lapse images of the cell in the red box. Each row shows four time points in one mitotic cycle of the same cell. The catch channel holds the original cell in place, while new cells are washed away as they separate.

catch channel for tens of hours while the progeny cells are rapidly pushed out into the flow medium. Longer time-scale imaging revealed that individual cells within the FYLM continued to replicate for over 90 h (Video S2 (ac500893a_ si_003.avi), Supporting Information). Figure 5 demonstrates that cells exhibited robust growth in the catch channels. The replication r[ate \(Figure 5A\) and cell](#page-5-0) length (Figure 5B) in the PDMS device is indistinguishable from doubling times and birth length of exponentially growing cells cultured in rich liquid media^{42,43,25} The cells divided every 130 \pm 25 min (mean \pm std. dev.; N = 139 divisions); their birth length was 7.1 \pm 0.9 μ [m \(mea](#page-6-0)n \pm std. dev.; N = 2250). Importantly, the replication rate and cell morphology were not perturbed by the

Figure 5. (A) Histogram of cell division times ($N = 139$ divisions). The cell division times are fit to a Gaussian distribution (red line, mean of 130 min with a standard deviation of 25 min). These results are in excellent agreement with the division time for wild type S. pombe in rich media (130–150 min),^{42,43} indicating that the FLYM device is not impeding normal cell division (also see Figure S6, Supporting Information). (B) Histogram [of old](#page-6-0) pole cell lengths immediately after division ("birth length", $N = 2250$ divisions). The red line is a fit to a Gaussian distribution (mean [of](#page-5-0) 7.1 μ m with a standard [deviation](#page-5-0) of 0.9 μ [m\).](#page-5-0) [Th](#page-5-0)ese results are in agreement with other measurements of birth length for wild type S. pombe in rich media microcolonies (7.3 \pm $(0.7 \ \mu m).^2$

confine[me](#page-6-0)nt within the catch channels and the continuous perfusion of fresh media (Figure S6 and Table S2, Supporting Information). Thus, we concluded that the FYLM provides an optimal growth environment for fission yeast.

[We next](#page-5-0) observed the dynamics of protein ag[gregates](#page-5-0) [in](#page-5-0) individual S. pombe cells. Proteotoxic stress is a key determinant of cellular longevity;⁴⁴ however, little is known about the accumulation and segregation of protein aggregates in fission yeast cells.^{9,10,44} Mi[sfo](#page-6-0)lded proteins accumulate into larger intracellular clusters that colocalize with Hsp104, a proteinaggregate [remod](#page-6-0)eling factor.^{9,45,46} To observe protein aggregates, we fluorescently imaged the dynamics of Hsp104 fused to a C-terminal GFP. 4^7 The [chrom](#page-6-0)osomally encoded Hsp104-GFP was driven by its endogenous promoter and expressed within its native ge[net](#page-6-0)ic locus.^{47} S. pombe cells were loaded into the FYLM, and each field-of-view was sequentially imaged via epifluorescence and bright fie[ld](#page-6-0) microscopy.

As a proof-of-principle, we induced proteome-wide aggregation by treating the cells with hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) . First, cells were loaded into the FYLM and allowed to grow in the device for 4 h (Figure 6). After the cells had undergone at least one round of replication, the media was switched to YES +1 [m](#page-5-0)M H_2O_2 for 30 min (Figure 6A). As reported previously

Figure 6. Hsp104-GFP relocalizes to distinct puncta after a proteotoxic (H_2O_2) stress. (A) Timeline of the experiment. First, cells are loaded into the FYLM and allowed to grow for 4 h. At the 4 h mark, YES+1 mM H_2O_2 is injected into the device for 30 min. (B) Representative images of a single cell over the course of the experiment. Hsp104-GFP forms numerous puncta after exposure to YES+1 mM H_2O_2 . These puncta coalesce to form larger bodies over the course of the experiment. (C) The number of Hsp104-GFP puncta increases in individual cells after an H_2O_2 shock (N = 65 cells). Two hours prior to H_2O_2 injection, cells harbor 1.0 \pm 0.9 puncta. Two hours after exposure to H_2O_2 , cells contain an average of 3.5 \pm 1.6 puncta. Statistical analysis of puncta in individual cells shows a significant difference before and after exposure ($p = 3.3 \times 10^{-16}$).

in both S. cerevisiae and S. pombe, Hsp104-GFP rapidly reorganized into numerous small punctate foci that segregated between dividing cells.^{9,45} Following H_2O_2 treatment, cells entered cell-cycle arrest and significantly slowed their replication time (Figur[es](#page-6-0) 6B and 7). Surprisingly, the cells showed a persistent delay in their replication times for up to seven generations after the H_2O_2 treatment (Figure 7). Importantly, we could continuously observe the dynamic movement of Hsp104-GFP foci over at least ten cell divisions (Video S3 (ac500893a_si_004.avi), Supporting Information). Together, these experiments demonstrate that the FYLM device permits wide-field fluorescence observation of Hsp104- GFP, a fluorescent reporter of proteome-wide misfolding. These results demonstrate that the FYLM will permit future studies to monitor key cellular senescence factors in precisely aged fission yeast cells.

■ CONCLUSION

In this report, we describe long-scan μ 3DP, a direct-write multiphoton lithography method capable of producing large aspect ratio, 3D structures with micrometer-scale features. This μ 3DP method combines the flexibility of dynamic mask-based μ 3D printing^{28,35,39} with the millimeter-length scales achieved by previous raster-scan methods.^{37,38}

Figure 7. Normalized replication times following treatment with 1 mM H_2O_2 . Cells are exposed to H_2O_2 between the first and second generations (as shown by the arrow). Time required for replication doubles immediately after the proteotoxic stress. Cells begin to recover, showing a return to normal doubling times around generation 7 (6 generations after the stress). Error bars show standard deviation; asterisks denote a significant statistical difference between control population and dosed population: $* p < 0.01$, $* p < 0.05$.

Using μ 3DP as a rapid prototyping method, we developed the FYLM, a high-throughput microfluidic platform for aging studies and long time-scale single-cell analysis in fission yeast. Microfluidic dissection offers a number of advantages over manual micromanipulation studies. Our devices are compatible with high-resolution time-lapse microscopy methods. Second, we can physically capture and observe a large cohort of individually addressable cells. As the cells are immobilized via gentle suction, no chemical modification of the cell wall is required.¹⁴ Continuous flow of fresh growth medium ensures that all cells experience a similar nutrient environment. Most importa[ntly](#page-6-0), the identity of the aging cell pole is geometrically constrained and can be unambiguously identified in confined cells. Because mitochondrial maintenance and other agingassociated processes are conserved between fission yeast and metazoans, high-throughput studies in this eukaryotic model organism offer great potential for shedding light on many aspects of cellular aging.

In addition to its utility in the rapid prototyping of masters for PDMS molding, μ 3DP can also be used to develop micrometer-scale devices that require intricate or unconventional geometries, such as curves or sloping/irregular top surfaces. Moreover, μ 3DP can be used to fabricate structures from a range of biological materials, including proteins and other biocompatible substrates.^{33,35,39} For example, μ 3DP of a protein matrix has been used to produce bacterial microenvironments.^{36,48} Thus, th[e long](#page-6-0)-scan μ 3DP approach described in this report will allow the generation of novel, millimeter-scal[e 3D](#page-6-0) structures and devices.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Additional information including detailed methods, six supplemental figures, and three videos. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: jshear@cm.utexas.edu.

*E-mail: ifinkelstein@cm.utexas.edu

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:ifinkelstein@cm.utexas.edu) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Welch Foundation (F-1808 to I.J.F.; F-1331 to J.B.S.) and by startup funds from the University of Texas at Austin. I.J.F. is a CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research. We thank Dr. Edward Marcotte and his research group for occasional use of their inverted fluorescence microscope. I.J.F., J.B.S., and B.X. are Fellows in the Institute of Cellular and Molecular Biology.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Mortimer, R. K.; Johnston, J. R. Nature 1959, 183, 1751−1752. (2) Henderson, K. A.; Gottschling, D. E. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 2008, 20, 723−728.

(3) McMurray, M. A.; Gottschling, D. E. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2004, 7, 673−679.

(4) Sinclair, D. A. In Biological Aging: Methods and Protocols (Methods in Molecular Biology); Tollefsbol, T. O., Ed.; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, 2013; pp 49−63.

(5) Sinclair, D. A. Mech. Ageing Dev. 2002, 123, 857−867.

(6) Wasko, B. M.; Kaeberlein, M. FEMS Yeast Res. 2014, 14, 148− 159.

- (7) Kenyon, C. J. Nature 2010, 464, 504−512.
- (8) Smith, E. D.; Tsuchiya, M.; Fox, L. A.; Dang, N.; Hu, D.; Kerr, E. O.; Johnston, E. D.; Tchao, B. N.; Pak, D. N.; Welton, K. L.; Promislow, D. E. L.; Thomas, J. H.; Kaeberlein, M.; Kennedy, B. K. Genome Res. 2008, 18, 564−570.

(9) Coelho, M.; Dereli, A.; Haese, A.; Kü hn, S.; Malinovska, L.; DeSantis, M. E.; Shorter, J.; Alberti, S.; Gross, T.; Tolic-Nørrelykke, I. ́ M. Curr. Biol. 2013, 23, 1−9.

(10) Erjavec, N.; Cvijovic, M.; Klipp, E.; Nystrom, T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 18764−18769.

(11) Barker, M. G.; Walmsley, R. M. Yeast 1999, 15, 1511−1518.

- (12) Lee, S. S.; Vizcarra, I. A.; Huberts, D. H. E. W.; Lee, L. P.; Heinemann, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 4916−4920.
- (13) Zhang, Y.; Luo, C.; Zou, K.; Xie, Z.; Brandman, O.; Ouyang, Q.; Li, H. PloS One 2012, 7, No. e48275.
- (14) Xie, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zou, K.; Brandman, O.; Luo, C.; Ouyang, Q.; Li, H. Aging cell 2012, 11, 599−606.
- (15) Terenna, C. R.; Makushok, T.; Velve-Casquillas, G.; Baigl, D.;

Chen, Y.; Bornens, M.; Paoletti, A.; Piel, M.; Tran, P. T. Curr. Biol. 2008, 18, 1748−1753.

(16) Minc, N.; Boudaoud, A.; Chang, F. Curr. Biol. 2009, 19, 1096− 1101.

- (17) Wang, P.; Robert, L.; Pelletier, J.; Dang, W. L.; Taddei, F.; Wright, A.; Jun, S. Curr. Biol. 2010, 20, 1099−1103.
- (18) Tian, Y.; Luo, C.; Ouyang, Q. Lab Chip 2013, 13, 4071−4077. (19) Spivey, E. C.; Finkelstein, I. J. Mol. BioSyst. 2014, 10, 1658−
- 1667.
- (20) Bell, L.; Seshia, A.; Lando, D.; Laue, E.; Palayret, M.; Lee, S. F.; Klenerman, D. Sens. Actuators, B 2014, 192, 36−41.
- (21) Grünberger, A.; Wiechert, W.; Kohlheyer, D. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2014, 29, 15−23.
- (22) Minc, N.; Chang, F. Curr. Biol. 2010, 20, 710−716.
- (23) Casquillas, G. V.; Fu, C.; Berre, M. L.; Cramer, J.; Meance, S.;

Plecis, A.; Baigl, D.; Greffet, J.-J.; Chen, Y.; Piel, M.; Tran, P. T. Lab Chip 2011, 11, 484−489.

(24) Syrovatkina, V.; Fu, C.; Tran, P. T. Curr. Biol. 2013, 23, 2423− 2429.

(25) Nobs, J.-B.; Maerkl, S. J. PLoS One 2014, 9, No. e93466.

- (26) Xia, Y.; Whitesides, G. M. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1998, 28, 153− 184.
- (27) Folch, A.; Ayon, A.; Hurtado, O.; Schmidt, M. A.; Toner, M. J. Biomech. Eng. 1999, 121, 28−34.
- (28) Ritschdorff, E. T.; Nielson, R.; Shear, J. B. Lab Chip 2012, 12, 867−871.
- (29) Maruo, S.; Nakamura, O.; Kawata, S. Opt. Lett. 1997, 22, 132− 134.
- (30) Kawata, S.; Sun, H.-B.; Tanaka, T.; Takada, K. Nature 2001, 412, 697−698.
- (31) Kaehr, B.; Ertas, N.; Nielson, R.; Allen, R.; Hill, R. T.; Plenert, M.; Shear, J. B. Anal. Chem. 2006, 78, 3198−3202.
- (32) Maruo, S.; Fourkas, J. T. Laser Photonics Rev. 2008, 2, 100−111.
- (33) Jhaveri, S.; McMullen, J.; Sijbesma, R.; Tan, L.; Zipfel, W.; Ober, C. Chem. Mater. 2009, 21, 2003−2006.
- (34) Culver, J. C.; Hoffmann, J. C.; Poche, R. A.; Slater, J. H.; West, J. ́ L.; Dickinson, M. E. Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 2344−2348.
- (35) Spivey, E. C.; Ritschdorff, E. T.; Connell, J. L.; McLennon, C.
- A.; Schmidt, C. E.; Shear, J. B. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2013, 23, 333−339. (36) Connell, J. L.; Ritschdorff, E. T.; Whiteley, M.; Shear, J. B. Proc.
- Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013, 110, 18380−18385.
- (37) Kumi, G.; Yanez, C. O.; Belfield, K. D.; Fourkas, J. T. Lab Chip 2010, 10, 1057.
- (38) Liu, Y.; Nolte, D. D.; Pyrak-Nolte, L. J. Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. 2010, 100, 181−191.
- (39) Nielson, R.; Kaehr, B.; Shear, J. Small 2009, 5, 120−125.
- (40) Hayles, J.; Wood, V.; Jeffery, L.; Hoe, K.-L.; Kim, D.-U.; Park, H.-O.; Salas-Pino, S.; Heichinger, C.; Nurse, P. Open Biol. 2013, 3, 130053.
- (41) Navarro, F. J.; Nurse, P. Genome Biol. 2012, 13, R36.
- (42) Forsburg, S. L.; Rhind, N. Yeast 2006, 23, 173−183.
- (43) Sabatinos, S. A.; Forsburg, S. L. In Methods in Enzymology, Guide

to Yeast Genetics: Functional Genomics, Proteomics, and Other Systems Analysis; Weissman, J., Guthrie, C., Fink, G. R., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 2010; Vol. 470, pp 759−795.

- (44) Morimoto, R. I. Genes Dev. 2008, 22, 1427−1438.
- (45) Liu, B.; Larsson, L.; Caballero, A.; Hao, X.; Öling, D.; Grantham, J.; Nyström, T. Cell 2010, 140, 257-267.
- (46) Erjavec, N.; Larsson, L.; Grantham, J.; Nyström, T. Genes Dev. 2007, 21, 2410−2421.
- (47) Nilsson, D.; Sunnerhagen, P. RNA 2011, 17, 120−133.
- (48) Connell, J. L.; Wessel, A. K.; Parsek, M. R.; Ellington, A. D.;
- Whiteley, M.; Shear, J. B. mBio 2010, 1, e00202−10−e00202−17.